

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,412	10/796,412 03/09/2004		Ly Tam Phan	ENP-029 CON (4014.1029 US	9034	
38473	7590	04/20/2005		EXAMI	NER	
ELMORE CRAIG, P.C. 209 MAIN STREET			•	PESELEV, ELLI		
N. CHELMS		MA 01863		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1623	1623	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
		10/796,412	PHAN ET AL.	•				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Elli Peselev	1623					
	The MAILING DATE of this communic			dress				
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum o tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) II, by statute, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed  If thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
2a)□		)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the	merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims			·				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)□	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the court or declaration is objected to be	•	• • •	• •				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)		•					
2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date	)-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152) Q				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,412

Art Unit: 1623

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,710,034. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed and process are encompassed by the patented compounds and process.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/796,412

Art Unit: 1623

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganguly et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,436,729) in combination with Debono et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,629,786).

Ganguly et al disclose closely analogous tylosin derivatives having antibacterial activity. The only difference between the claimed compounds and the reference's compounds is at the 5-position i.e. the claimed compounds contain a monosaccharide moiety at the 5-position while the reference's compounds contain a disaccharide moiety at the 5-position. However, since Debono et al disclose analogous tylosin derivatives having a monosaccharide moiety at the 5-position, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made would have been motivated to modify the compounds disclosed by Ganguly et al at the 5-position in accordance with the teaching by Debono et al because such a person would have expected the resulting compounds to possess antibacterial activity. The claimed compounds, composition and method are deemed prima facie obvious over Ganguly et al in combination with Debono et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,412

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

Page 4